CODE REVISER USE ONLY



## RULE-MAKING ORDER PERMANENT RULE ONLY

## CR-103P (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.360)

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: January 24, 2022 TIME: 8:31 AM

WSR 22-04-023

Agency: Department of Revenue

## Effective date of rule:

### Permanent Rules

- ⊠ 31 days after filing.
- Other (specify) (If less than 31 days after filing, a specific finding under RCW 34.05.380(3) is required and should be stated below)

#### Any other findings required by other provisions of law as precondition to adoption or effectiveness of rule? □ Yes □ No If Yes, explain:

#### Purpose: The department is amending:

- WACs 458-19-005, 458-19-020, 458-19-060, and 458-19-065 to incorporate 2021 legislation, Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1189. This legislation authorizes local governments to establish Local Tax Increment Finance Areas to fund public improvements.
- WACs 458-19-070 and 458-19-075 to incorporate 2021 legislation, House Bill 1034. This legislation revised the aggregate levy limitations for park and recreation districts located on an island in a county with a population greater than two million.

## Citation of rules affected by this order:

New: Repealed:

Amended: WACs 458-19-005 Definitions; 458-19-020 Levy limit-Method of calculation; 458-19-060 Emergency medical service levy; 458-19-065 Levy limit-Protection of future levy capacity; 458-19-070 Five dollars and ninety cents statutory aggregate dollar rate limit calculation; 458-19-075 Constitutional one percent limit calculation Suspended:

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 84.08.010, 84.08.070, and 84.55.060

#### Other authority:

## PERMANENT RULE (Including Expedited Rule Making)

Adopted under notice filed as <u>WSR 21-23-085</u> on November 16, 2021 (date). Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted version: None

If a preliminary cost-benefit analysis was prepared under RCW 34.05.328, a final cost-benefit analysis is available by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY: Email:

Web site:

Other: An analysis was not prepared.

Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero. No descriptive text.					
Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note. A section may be counted in more than one category.					
The number of sections adopted in order to comply with	:				
Federal statute: New	w Amended Repealed				
Federal rules or standards: New	w Amended Repealed				
Recently enacted state statutes: New	w Amended Repealed				
The number of sections adopted at the request of a nongovernmental entity:					
Nev	w Amended Repealed				
The number of sections adopted on the agency's own initiative:					
Nev	w Amended 6 Repealed				
The number of sections adopted in order to clarify, streamline, or reform agency procedures:					
Net	w Amended 6 Repealed				
The number of sections adopted using:					
Negotiated rule making: Negoti	v Amended Repealed				
Pilot rule making: New	v Amended Repealed				
Other alternative rule making: New	w Amended Repealed				
Date Adopted: January 24, 2022	Signature:				
Name: Atif Aziz	Att A'-				
Title: Rules Coordinator	11-38				

<u>AMENDATORY SECTION</u> (Amending WSR 20-24-065, filed 11/24/20, effective 12/25/20)

WAC 458-19-005 Definitions. (1) Introduction. This rule contains definitions of the terms used throughout chapters 84.52 and 84.55 RCW and chapter 458-19 WAC in the administration of the system used to levy property taxes on taxable property within the state of Washington.

(2) Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the following definitions apply:

(a) "Annexation" means one taxing district is adding territory or another dissimilar taxing district from outside the annexing taxing district's boundary and includes a merger of a portion of a fire protection district under chapter 52.06 RCW with another fire protection district.

(b) "Assessed value" means the value of taxable property placed on the assessment rolls. The term is often abbreviated with the initials "A.V."

(c) "Certified property tax levy rate" means the tax rate calculated by the county assessor in accordance with law to produce the lawful amount of the certified property tax levy.

(d) "Consolidated levy rate" means:

(i) For purposes of the statutory aggregate dollar rate levy limit, the sum of all regular levy rates set for collection, not including the rates for the state levy, ports, public utility districts, financing affordable housing under RCW 84.52.105, acquiring conservation futures under RCW 84.34.230, criminal justice purposes under RCW 84.52.135, emergency medical care or emergency medical services under RCW 84.52.069, county ferry districts under RCW 36.54.130, the portions of the fire protection and regional fire protection service authority levies protected under RCW 84.52.125, the portion of metropolitan park district levies protected under RCW 84.52.120, transit-related purposes under RCW 84.52.140, the protected portion of the levies imposed under RCW 84.52.816 by flood control zone districts, and levies imposed by a regional transit authority under RCW 81.104.175; and

(ii) For purposes of the constitutional one percent limit, the sum of all regular levy rates set for collection, including the rates for the state levy, but not including the rates for port and public utility districts.

(e) "Consolidation" means the act of combining two or more similar taxing districts into one taxing district; for example, the combination of two fire protection districts into one fire protection district.

(f) "Constitutional one percent limit" means the levy limit established by Article VII, section 2 of the state Constitution, which prohibits the aggregate of all tax levies on real and personal property from exceeding one percent (\$10 per \$1,000) of the true and fair value of property. This limit does not apply to excess levies, levies by port districts, and levies by public utility districts. This limit is also set forth in RCW 84.52.050.

(g) "Department" means the department of revenue of the state of Washington.

(h) "Excess property tax levy" or "excess levy" means a voter-approved property tax levy by or for a taxing district, other than a port or public utility district, that is subject to neither the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit set forth in RCW 84.52.043 nor the

constitutional one percent limit set forth in Article VII, section 2 of the state Constitution and in RCW 84.52.050. It does not include regular levies allowed to exceed the levy limit with voter approval.

(i) "Improvement" means any valuable change in or addition to real property, including the subdivision or segregation of parcels of real property or the merger of parcels of real property.

(j) "Inflation" means the percentage change in the implicit price deflator for personal consumption expenditures for the United States as published for the most recent ((twelve-month)) <u>12-month</u> period by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the Federal Department of Commerce by September 25th of the year before the taxes are payable; see RCW 84.55.005.

(k) "Joint taxing district" means a taxing district that exists in two or more counties; the term does not include the state nor does it include an intercounty rural library district.

(1) "Junior taxing district" means a taxing district other than the state, a county, a county road district, a city, a town, a port district, or a public utility district.

(m) "Levy limit" means:

(i) The statutorily established limit that prohibits a taxing district, other than the state, from levying regular property taxes for a particular year that exceed the limit factor multiplied by the highest amount of regular property taxes that could have been lawfully levied in the taxing district in any year since 1985, <u>excluding any increase due to (m)(i)(E) of this subsection, unless the highest levy was the statutory maximum rate amount, plus an additional dollar amount calculated by multiplying the regular property tax levy rate of that district for the preceding year, or the last year the taxing district levied taxes, by the increase in assessed value in the taxing district resulting from:</u>

(A) New construction;

(B) Improvements to property;

(C) Increases in the assessed value of state assessed property; ((and))

(D) Increases in assessed value due to the construction of wind turbine, solar, biomass, and geothermal facilities, if such facilities generate electricity and the property is not included elsewhere under chapter 84.55 RCW for purposes of providing an additional dollar amount. The property may be classified as real or personal property; and

(E) Increases in assessed value of real property within an increment area designated by a local government pursuant to chapter 39.114 RCW, provided the increase is not included elsewhere in the levy limit calculation. This subsection does not apply to:

(I) Levies by the state;

(II) Levies by a port district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness; and

(III) Levies by a public utility district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness.

(ii) For purposes of the levy limit, the phrase "highest amount of regular property taxes that could have been lawfully levied" means the maximum amount that could have been levied by a taxing district under the limitation set forth in chapter 84.55 RCW unless the highest amount that could have been levied was actually restricted by the taxing district's statutory dollar rate limit. If the taxing district's levy was restricted by the statutory dollar rate limit, the highest amount that could have been lawfully levied is the amount produced by

multiplying the assessed value of the taxing district by the statutory dollar rate.

(iii) For purposes of the levy limit, the regular property tax levy rate of the district for the preceding year, or the last year the taxing district levied taxes, may reflect a reduced rate due to the \$5.90 statutory aggregate limitation and/or the constitutional one percent limitation, if prorating occurred in the district.

The regular property tax levy rate of the district for the preceding year may also reflect a levy error or a levy error correction. If this occurs, the rate used will be the rate had the levy error or levy error correction not occurred. RCW 84.52.085.

(iv) The levy limit for the state is the amount calculated under WAC 458-19-550.

(n) "Levy rate" means the dollar amount per thousand dollars of assessed value applied to taxable property within a taxing district and is calculated by dividing the total amount of a statutorily authorized levy of a taxing district by the total assessed value of that district and is expressed in dollars and cents per thousand dollars of assessed value.

(o) "Limit factor" means:

(i) For taxing districts with a population of less than ((ten thousand)) <u>10,000</u> in the calendar year immediately prior to the assessment year, ((one hundred one)) <u>101</u> percent;

(ii) For taxing districts, other than the state, having made a finding of substantial need in accordance with RCW 84.55.0101, the lesser of the substantial need factor or ((one hundred one)) <u>101</u> percent;

(iii) For all other taxing districts, excluding the state, the lesser of ((<del>one hundred one</del>)) <u>101</u> percent or ((<del>one hundred</del>)) <u>100</u> percent plus inflation; or

(iv) For the state, the limits described in WAC 458-19-550.

(p) "New construction" means the construction or alteration of any property for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits, which results in an increase in the value of the property.

(q) "Regular property tax levy" or "regular levy" means a property tax levy by or for a taxing district that is subject to the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit set forth in RCW 84.52.043, the constitutional one percent limit set forth in RCW 84.52.050, or is a levy imposed by or for a port district or a public utility district.

(r) "Regular property taxes" means those taxes resulting from regular property tax levies.

(s) "Senior taxing district" means the state (for support of common schools), a county, a county road district, a city, or a town.

(t) "Statutory aggregate dollar rate limit" or "statutory aggregate limit" means the maximum aggregate regular property tax levy rate within a county established by law for senior and junior taxing districts, other than the state. The current limit is \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation. See RCW 84.52.043 and WAC 458-19-070.

(u) "Statutory dollar rate limit" means the maximum regular property tax levy rate established by law for a particular type of taxing district.

(v) "Substantial need limit factor" means a limit factor approved by a taxing district's legislative authority that exceeds ((one hundred)) <u>100</u> percent plus inflation. This limit cannot exceed ((one hundred one)) <u>101</u> percent.

(w) "Super majority" means a majority of at least three-fifths of the registered voters of a taxing district approving a proposition authorizing a levy, at which election the number of persons voting "yes" on the proposition constitutes three-fifths of a number equal to ((forty)) <u>40</u> percent of the total votes cast in the taxing district in the last preceding general election; or by a majority of at least three-fifths of the registered voters of the taxing district voting on the proposition when the number of registered voters voting on the proposition exceeds ((forty)) <u>40</u> percent of the last preceding general election.

(x) **"Tax code area"** means a geographical area made up of one or more taxing districts, which is established for the purpose of properly calculating, collecting, and distributing taxes. Only one tax code area will have the same combination of taxing districts, with limited exceptions.

(y) "Taxing district" means the state and any county, city, town, port district, school district, road district, metropolitan park district, regional transit authority, water-sewer district, or other municipal corporation, having the power or legal authority to impose burdens upon property within the district on an ad valorem basis, for the purpose of obtaining revenue for public purposes, as distinguished from municipal corporations authorized to impose burdens, or for which burdens may be imposed for public purposes, on property in proportion to the increase in benefits received.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-14-095, filed 7/3/18, effective 8/3/18)

WAC 458-19-020 Levy limit—Method of calculation. (1) Introduction. This rule explains the general method used to calculate the levy limit for regular property tax levies for taxing districts, other than the state, in accordance with RCW 84.55.092 and 84.55.120. Except for the state levy, the same method is generally used to calculate the amount of regular property taxes that can be levied by a taxing district in any year. This rule also describes what occurs when a taxing district makes a finding of substantial need in accordance with RCW 84.55.0101 to use a limit factor in excess of ((one hundred)) 100 percent plus inflation. This rule does not attempt to include all special circumstances, such as the reduction in the levy limit for cities and towns that form a fire protection district under RCW 52.02.160, which may affect the applicable limit under chapter 84.55 RCW.

(2) Increase in tax revenues - Ordinance or resolution required. The following describes the ordinance or resolution required by taxing districts when requesting increases in tax revenues.

(a) Except by holding a public hearing and adopting an ordinance or resolution, no taxing district, other than the state, may authorize an increase in property tax revenue, other than one resulting from an increase in assessed value of the district attributable to:

(i) New construction;

(ii) Improvements to property;

(iii) Increases in the assessed value of state assessed property;  $\left(\left(and\right)\right)$ 

(iv) Increases in assessed value due to the construction of wind turbine, solar, biomass, and geothermal facilities, if such facilities generate electricity and the property is not included elsewhere under chapter 84.55 RCW for purposes of providing an additional dollar amount. The property may be classified as real or personal property; and

(v) Increases in assessed value of real property within an increment area designated by a local government pursuant to chapter 39.114 RCW, provided the increase is not included elsewhere in the levy limit calculation. This subsection (2) (a) (v) does not apply to:

(A) Levies by the state;

(B) Levies by a port district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness; and

(C) Levies by a public utility district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness.

(b) The ordinance or resolution may cover a period of up to two years, but the ordinance or resolution must specifically state for each year the dollar increase and percentage change in the levy from the previous year. The dollar increase and percentage change should reflect everything included in the levy limit and should not reflect anything excluded under chapter 84.55 RCW (such as, but not limited to, a levy for property tax refunds paid under the provisions of chapter 84.68 or 84.69 RCW).

(c) A majority of the legislative authority of a taxing district must approve the ordinance or resolution authorizing an increase in the taxing district's levy as calculated in subsection (3) of this rule.

(d) Upon making a finding of substantial need to increase its levy by an amount greater than the rate of inflation, the legislative authority of a taxing district may adopt a second ordinance or resolution establishing a limit factor greater than ((one hundred)) 100 percent plus inflation. But the substantial need limit factor can never exceed ((one hundred one)) 101 percent.

(i) In districts with legislative authorities of four members or less, two-thirds of the members must approve an ordinance or resolution supporting a substantial need to increase the limit factor.

(ii) In districts with more than four members, a majority plus one must approve an ordinance or resolution supporting a substantial need to increase the limit factor.

(3) Calculation of levy limit for all taxing districts other than the state. The amount of regular property taxes that can be levied by a taxing district, other than the state, in any year is limited to an amount that will not exceed the amount resulting from the following calculation, except as otherwise provided by statute:

(a) The highest amount that could have been lawfully levied by the taxing district in any year since 1985 for 1986 collection, multiplied by the limit factor( $(\div)$ ), excluding any increase due to (b)(v) of this subsection, unless the highest levy was the statutory maximum rate amount, plus;

(b) A dollar amount calculated by multiplying the regular property tax levy rate of the district for the preceding year, or the last year the taxing district levied taxes, by the increase in assessed value of the district resulting from:

(i) New construction;

(ii) Improvements to property;

(iii) Increases in the assessed value of state assessed property;  $((\mbox{and}))$ 

(iv) Increases in assessed value due to the construction of wind turbine, solar, biomass, and geothermal facilities, if such facilities generate electricity and the property is not included elsewhere under chapter 84.55 RCW for purposes of providing an additional dollar amount. The property may be classified as real or personal property; and

(v) Increases in assessed value of real property within an increment area designated by a local government pursuant to chapter 39.114 RCW, provided the increase is not included elsewhere in the levy limit calculation. This subsection (3) (b) (v) does not apply to:

(A) Levies by the state;

(B) Levies by a port district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness; and

(C) Levies by a public utility district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness.

(4) **Calculation of levy limit for the state levy.** The levy limit for the state is calculated according to WAC 458-19-550.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-104, filed 12/4/18, effective 1/4/19)

WAC 458-19-060 Emergency medical service levy. (1) Introduction. This rule explains the criteria described in RCW 84.52.069 regarding a taxing district imposing a limited or permanent regular levy for emergency medical care or emergency medical services. This rule also describes the duration of this levy, the ballot title and measure that must be presented to and approved by the voters, the maximum levy rate, and the applicable levy limits.

Definitions. The definitions in WAC 458-19-005 apply to this rule.

(2) **Purpose - Voter approval required - Who may levy.** An emergency medical service (EMS) levy is a regular voter approved levy. Any taxes collected from this levy can only be used to provide emergency medical care or emergency medical services, including related personnel costs, training for such personnel and related equipment, supplies, vehicles, and structures needed to provide this care or service.

(a) Initial approval of EMS levy. A permanent EMS levy, or the initial imposition of a six-year or ((ten-year)) <u>10-year</u> EMS levy must be approved by a super majority of registered voters at a general or special election. However, if an area comprising a newly formed regional fire protection service authority was subject to an EMS levy immediately prior to the creation of the authority, the initial imposition of a six-year or ((ten-year)) <u>10-year</u> EMS levy may be approved by a majority of the registered voters who approved the creation of the authority and the related service plan.

(b) Subsequent approval of EMS levy. The subsequent approval of a six-year or ((ten-year)) <u>10-year</u> EMS levy only requires the authorization of a majority of the registered voters at a general or special election. Only a county, emergency medical service district, city, town, public hospital district, urban emergency medical service district, trict, regional fire protection service authority, or fire protection district is authorized to impose an EMS levy.

(3) **Duration - Maximum levy rate.** An EMS levy is imposed each year for six consecutive years, each year for ((ten)) <u>10</u> consecutive years, or permanently. Except as provided in subsection (11) of this rule, a taxing district may impose an EMS levy in an amount that cannot exceed ((fifty)) <u>50</u> cents per ((thousand dollars)) <u>\$1,000</u> of assessed value of the property in the taxing district.

(4) **Contents of ballot title and measure.** Any ballot title and measure seeking authorization of an EMS levy must conform to the requirements of RCW 29A.36.210. A taxing district cannot submit to the voters, at the same election, multiple propositions to impose an EMS levy under RCW 84.52.069. If the approved ballot title and measure did not authorize the maximum allowable levy rate (((fifty)) 50 cents per ((thousand dollars)) \$1,000 of assessed value) for the EMS levy, any future proposition to increase the rate up to the maximum allowable levy rate must be specifically authorized by voters at a general or special election. Therefore, a taxing district may impose an EMS levy rate up to, but no greater than, the rate in the approved ballot measure without obtaining additional voter approval. The ballot title and measure authorizing a taxing district to impose:

(a) An EMS levy for a limited duration must state the name of the taxing district, the maximum levy rate per ((thousand dollars)) \$1,000 of assessed value to be imposed, and the maximum number of years the levy is allowed; or

(b) A permanent EMS levy must state the name of the taxing district and the maximum levy rate per ((thousand dollars)) <u>\$1,000</u> of assessed value to be permanently imposed. A ballot title for this type of levy must include wording to indicate that it is a permanent EMS levy. A taxing district that seeks to impose a permanent levy must also provide for a referendum procedure to apply to the ordinance or resolution imposing the tax. For additional information regarding the referendum procedures, see RCW 84.52.069.

(5) **County-wide EMS levy**. A county-wide EMS levy proposal cannot be placed on the ballot without first obtaining the approval from the legislative authority of a majority of at least ((seventy-five)) <u>75</u> percent of all cities within the county having a population exceeding ((fifty thousand)) <u>50,000</u>. No other taxing district within the county may hold an election on a proposed EMS levy at the same time as the election on a proposed county-wide EMS levy. To the extent feasible, emergency medical care and services must be provided throughout the county whenever the county levies an EMS levy.

(6) Additional requirements. When a county levies an EMS levy, the following conditions apply:

(a) Other taxing districts within the county authorized to levy an EMS levy may do so, but only if the taxing district's EMS levy rate does not exceed the difference between the county's EMS levy rate and ((fifty)) 50 cents per ((thousand dollars)) \$1,000 of assessed value of the property in the taxing district;

(b) If a taxing district within the county levies an EMS levy and the voters of the county subsequently approve a county-wide EMS levy, then the taxing district must reduce its EMS levy rate so the combined EMS levy rate of the county and the taxing district does not exceed ((fifty)) 50 cents per  $((thousand dollars)) \frac{$1,000}{100}$  of assessed value of the property in the taxing district;

(c) A taxing district within a county having an EMS levy of limited duration that was authorized by the voters subsequent to a countywide EMS levy of limited duration, will expire at the same time as the county EMS levy; and

(d) A fire protection district having annexed an area described in subsection (11) of this rule may levy the maximum amount of tax al-

lowed, taking into consideration any limitations in this subsection. (7) EMS levy of a taxing district other than a county. When a taxing district levies an EMS levy within the county, only the county may, at the same time, levy an EMS levy within the boundaries of that taxing district; all other taxing districts are prohibited from levying an EMS levy within that taxing district's boundaries while it collects an EMS levy.

(a) If a regional fire protection service authority imposes an EMS levy under this rule, no other taxing district that is a participating fire protection jurisdiction in the regional fire protection service authority may impose an EMS levy under this rule.

(b) For purposes of this subsection, a "participating fire protection jurisdiction" means a fire protection district, city, town, Indian tribe, or port district that is represented on the governing board of a regional fire protection service authority.

(8) Constitutional one percent limit. An EMS levy is subject to the constitutional one percent limit for regular property taxes. If a reduction of the rate of an EMS levy is required because this limit is exceeded, it is reduced according to RCW 84.52.010 and WAC 458-19-075.

(9) Statutory aggregate dollar rate limit. An EMS levy is not subject to the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit of ((five dollars and ninety cents per thousand dollars)) \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value as described in RCW 84.52.043.

(10) Applicability of limit factor to EMS levy. The EMS levy is calculated separately from any other levies made by the taxing district for purposes of calculating the levy limit.

(a) The first year an EMS levy is made following voter approval, the levy limit in chapter 84.55 RCW does not apply. ((However, after the first year an EMS levy is subject to this limit. Therefore,))

(b) In the second year, the EMS levy cannot exceed the limit fac-tor multiplied by the highest amount of regular property taxes that could have lawfully been levied since the voters last approved the levy, plus an additional amount calculated by multiplying the regular property tax levy rate of the district from the preceding year by the increase in assessed value in the taxing district resulting from:

((<del>(a)</del>)) <u>(i)</u> New construction;

((<del>(b)</del>)) <u>(ii)</u> Improvements to property; ((<del>(c)</del>)) <u>(iii)</u> Increases in the assessed value of state assessed property; ((and

(d)) (iv) Increases in assessed value due to the construction of wind turbine, solar, biomass, and geothermal facilities, if such fa-cilities generate electricity and the property is not included else-where under chapter 84.55 RCW for purposes of providing an additional dollar amount. The property may be classified as real or personal property ((-

The EMS levy is calculated separately from any other levies made by the taxing district for purposes of calculating the levy limit.)); <u>and</u>

(v) Increases in assessed value of real property within an increment area designated by a local government pursuant to chapter 39.114 RCW, provided the increase is not included elsewhere in the levy limit calculation. This subsection (10) (b) (v) does not apply to:

(A) Levies by the state;

(B) Levies by a port district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness; and

(C) Levies by a public utility district for purposes of making required payments of principal and interest on general indebtedness. (c) In the third year, and thereafter, the EMS levy limit is cal-

culated according to WAC 458-19-005 (2)(m). (11) County boundaries. For purposes of imposing an EMS levy, the boundary of a county with a population greater than ((one million five hundred thousand)) <u>1,500,000</u> does not include the area of the county that is located within a city that has a boundary in two counties. This only applies if the locally assessed value of all property in the area of the city within the county having a population greater than ((one million five hundred thousand)) <u>1,500,000</u> is less than ((two hundred fifty million dollars)) <u>\$250,000,000</u>.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-03-087, filed 1/21/15, effective 2/21/15)

WAC 458-19-065 Levy limit—Protection of future levy capacity. (1) Introduction. This rule explains what occurs when a taxing district levies taxes in an amount less than the maximum allowed under the levy limit for any year and how future levies of the district will be calculated.

(2) Use of maximum lawful levy amount. In any year when a taxing district, other than the state, levies taxes in an amount less than the maximum amount allowed by the levy limit, whether voluntarily or as a result of the operation of the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit or constitutional one percent limit reducing or eliminating the taxing district's levy rate, the levy limit for succeeding years after 1985 will be calculated as though the maximum lawful levy amount allowed by the levy limit or the taxing district's statutory dollar rate limit had been levied.

(3) **Examples.** These examples do not include any amounts for new construction, improvements to property, increases in the assessed value of state assessed property, ((or)) increases in the assessed value due to the construction of wind turbine, solar, biomass, and geothermal facilities, or increases in assessed value of real property within an increment area designated by a local government pursuant to chapter 39.114 RCW.

(a) In ((2013)) 2022, the highest amount of regular property taxes that could have been lawfully levied by taxing district "A" as restricted by the levy limit was \$100,000. But in ((2013)) 2022 taxing district "A" was otherwise limited by the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit to a maximum levy of \$95,000. The levy limit for the ((2014)) 2023 levy will be calculated on the basis of what could have been the highest levy amount since 1985, ((that)) which is \$100,000 multiplied by the limit factor. The amount actually levied in ((2013)) 2022 is not controlling.

(b) Using the same basic facts from the previous example, if the levy amount of district "A" had been limited by the statutory dollar rate limit in ((2013)) 2022 to \$95,000, and \$95,000 was the highest amount of regular property taxes that could have been lawfully levied since 1985, then the levy limit for ((2014)) 2023 will be calculated on the basis of \$95,000, that is \$95,000 multiplied by the limit factor.

<u>AMENDATORY SECTION</u> (Amending WSR 20-24-065, filed 11/24/20, effective 12/25/20)

WAC 458-19-070 Five dollars and ninety cents statutory aggregate dollar rate limit calculation. (1) Introduction. This rule describes the process used to reduce or eliminate a levy rate when the assessor finds the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit exceeds ((five dollars and ninety cents)) \$5.90. The aggregate of all regular levy rates of junior taxing districts and senior taxing districts, other than the state and other specifically identified districts, cannot exceed ((five dollars and ninety cents per thousand dollars)) \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value in accordance with RCW 84.52.043. When the county assessor finds that this limit has been exceeded, the assessor recalculates the levy rates and establishes a new consolidated levy rate as described in RCW 84.52.010. The ((five dollar and ninety cents)) \$5.90 statutory aggregate dollar rate limit is reviewed before the constitutional one percent limit.

(2) Levies not subject to statutory aggregate dollar rate limit. The following levies are not subject to the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit of ((five dollars and ninety cents per thousand dollars)) \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value:

(a) Levies by the state;

(b) Levies by or for port or public utility districts;

(c) Excess property tax levies authorized in Article VII, section 2 of the state Constitution;

(d) Levies by or for county ferry districts under RCW 36.54.130;

(e) Levies for acquiring conservation futures under RCW 84.34.230;

(f) Levies for emergency medical care or emergency medical services under RCW 84.52.069;

(g) Levies for financing affordable housing under RCW 84.52.105;

(h) The portion of metropolitan park district levies protected under RCW 84.52.120;

(i) The portions of levies by fire protection districts and regional fire protection service authorities protected under RCW 84.52.125;

(j) Levies for criminal justice purposes under RCW 84.52.135;

(k) Levies for transit-related purposes by a county under RCW 84.52.140;

(1) The protected portion of the levies imposed under RCW 84.52.816 by flood control zone districts; ((and))

(m) Levies imposed by a regional transit authority under RCW 81.104.175<u>; and</u>

(n) Levies imposed under RCW 36.69.145, by a park and recreation district located on an island and within a county with a population exceeding 2,000,000, for collection in calendar years 2022 through 2026.

(3) Consolidated levy rate limitation. RCW 84.52.010 explains the order in which the regular levies of taxing districts will be reduced or eliminated by the assessor to comply with the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit of ((five dollars and ninety cents per thousand dollars)) \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The order in the statute lists which taxing districts are the first to either reduce or eliminate their levy rate. Taxing districts that are at the same level are grouped together in tiers. Reductions or eliminations in levy rates are made on a pro rata basis within each tier of taxing district lev-

ies until the consolidated levy rate no longer exceeds the statutory aggregate dollar rate limit of ((five dollars and ninety cents))\$5.90.

As opposed to the order in RCW 84.52.010, which lists the taxing districts that are the first to have their levy rates reduced or eliminated, this rule is written in reverse order; that is, it lists the taxing districts that must be first either fully or partially funded. If the statutory aggregate dollar rate is exceeded, then the levy rates for taxing districts within a particular tier must be reduced or eliminated on a pro rata basis. The proration factor, which is multiplied by each levy rate within the tier, is obtained by dividing the dollar rate remaining available to the taxing districts in that tier as a group by the sum of the levy rates originally certified by or for all of the taxing districts within the tier.

(a) Step one: Total the aggregate regular levy rates requested by all affected taxing districts in the tax code area. If this total is less than ((five dollars and ninety cents per thousand dollars))  $\frac{5.90}{\text{per }\$1,000}$  of assessed value, no levy rate reduction or elimination is necessary. If this total levy rate is more than ((five dollars and ninety cents))  $\frac{5.90}{100}$ , the assessor must proceed through the following steps until the aggregate dollar rate is brought within that limit.

(b) Step two: Subtract from \$5.90 the levy rates of the county, including the rate of any separate property tax levy as described in RCW 84.55.135, and the county road district if the tax code area includes an unincorporated portion of the county, or the levy rates of the county and the city or town if the tax code area includes an includes an applicable.

(c) Step three: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates, if any, for fire protection districts under RCW 52.16.130, regional fire protection service authorities under RCW 52.26.140 (1) (a), library districts under RCW 27.12.050 and 27.12.150, the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value for metropolitan park districts created before January 1, 2002, under RCW 35.61.210, and the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars) 50 cents per thousand the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars) 50 cents per thousand dollars) 50 cents per thousand the first (tents per thousand dollars) 50 cents per thousand the first (tents per thousand tents) 50 cents per thousand tents) 50 cents per thousand tents (tents per thousand tents) 50 cents per tents) 50 cents per tents per tents (tents per tents) 50 cents per tents) 50 cents per tents per tents per tents) 50 cents per tents (tents per tents) 50 cents per tents) 50 cents per tents)

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step four.

(d) Step four: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates, if any, for fire protection districts under RCW 52.16.140 and 52.16.160, and regional fire protection service authorities under RCW 52.26.140 (1) (b) and (c). However, under RCW 84.52.125, a fire protection district or regional fire protection service authority may protect up to ((twenty-five cents per thousand dollars)) 25 cents per  $\frac{$1,000}{100}$  of assessed value of the total levies made under RCW 52.16.140 and 52.16.160, or 52.26.140 (1) (b) and (c) from reduction or elimination.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. It is at this point that the provisions of RCW 84.52.125 come into play; that is, a fire protection district or regional fire protection service authority may protect up to ((twenty-five cents per thousand dollars)) 25 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value of the total levies made under RCW 52.16.140 and 52.16.160, or 52.26.140 (1) (b) and (c) from reduction or elimination under RCW 84.52.043(2), if the total levies would otherwise be reduced or eliminated under RCW 84.52.010 (3) (a) (iii) with respect to the ((five-dollar and ninety cent per thousand dollars)) \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value limit. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step five.

(e) Step five: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate, if any, for the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value of metropolitan park districts created on or after January 1, 2002, under RCW 35.61.210.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step six.

(f) Step six: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the ((twenty-five cent per thousand dollars)) 25 cent per \$1,000 of assessed value levy rate for metropolitan park districts if it is not protected under RCW 84.52.120, the ((twenty-five cent per thousand dollars)) 25 cent per \$1,000 of assessed value levy rate for public hospital districts under RCW 70.44.060(6), and the levy rates, if any, for cemetery districts under RCW 68.52.310 and all other junior taxing districts if those levies are not listed in steps three through five or seven or eight of this subsection.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step seven.

(g) Step seven: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate, if any, for flood control zone districts other than the portion of a levy protected under RCW 84.52.816.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step eight.

(h) Step eight: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates, if any, for city transportation authorities under RCW 35.95A.100, park and recreation service areas under RCW 36.68.525, park and recreation districts under RCW 36.69.145, except a park and recreation district described in subsection (2) (n) of this rule, and cultural arts, stadium, and convention districts under RCW 67.38.130.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step nine.

(i) Step nine: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy imposed, if any, for cultural access programs under RCW 36.160.080 until the remaining levy capacity equals zero.

DISTRICT	ORIGINAL LEVY RATE	PRORATION FACTOR	FINAL LEVY RATE	REMAINING LEVY CAPACITY
County County Road	1.8000 2.2500	NONE NONE	$1.8000 \\ 2.2500$	1.850
Library Fire Hospital	.5000 .5000 .5000	NONE NONE NONE	.5000 .5000 .5000	.350
Fire	.2000	NONE	.2000	.150
Cemetery Hospital	.1125 .2500	.4138 .4138	.0466 .1034	
Totals	6.1125		5.90	

#### (4) **Example**.

(a) Beginning with the limit of \$5.90, subtract the original certified levy rates for the county and county road taxing districts leaving \$1.85 available for the remaining districts.

(b) Subtract the total of the levy rates for each district within the next tier: The library's \$.50, the fire district's \$.50 and the hospital's \$.50 = \$1.50, which leaves \$.35 available for the remaining districts.

(c) Subtract the fire district's additional \$.20 levy rate, which leaves \$.15 available for the remaining districts.

(d) The remaining \$.15 must be shared by the cemetery and the hospital districts within the next tier of levies. The cemetery district originally sought to levy \$.1125 and the hospital district sought to levy \$.25. The proration factor is arrived at by dividing the amount available (\$.15) by the original levy rates (\$.3625) requested within that tier resulting in a proration factor of .4138. Finally, the original levy rates in this tier of \$.1125 and \$.25 for the cemetery and hospital, respectively, are multiplied by the proration factor.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 20-24-065, filed 11/24/20, effective 12/25/20)

WAC 458-19-075 Constitutional one percent limit calculation. (1) Introduction. This rule explains how to determine if the constitutional one percent limit is being exceeded and the sequence in which levy rates will be reduced or eliminated in accordance with RCW 84.52.010 if the constitutional one percent limit is exceeded. The constitutional one percent calculation is made after the assessor ensures that the \$5.90 statutory aggregate dollar rate limit is not exceeded. The total amount of all regular property tax levies that can be applied against taxable property is limited to one percent of the true and fair value of the property in money. The one percent limit is stated in Article VII, section 2 of the state Constitution and the enabling statute, RCW 84.52.050. The constitutional one percent limit is based on the amount of taxes actually levied on the true and fair value of the property, not the dollar rate used in calculating property taxes.

(2) **Preliminary calculations.** After reducing or eliminating the levy rates under RCW 84.52.043 (the \$5.90 statutory aggregate dollar rate limit) has occurred, make the following calculations to determine if the constitutional one percent limit is being exceeded:

(a) First, add together all regular levy rates in the tax code area, including the rates for the state levy, but not the rates for port and public utility districts, to arrive at a combined levy rate for that tax code area. "Regular levy rates" in this context means the levy rates that remain after reduction or elimination under RCW 84.52.043 has occurred. The levy rates for port and public utility districts are not included in this calculation because they are not subject to the constitutional one percent limit.

(b) Second, divide ((ten dollars)) <u>\$10</u> by the higher of the real or personal property ratio of the county for the assessment year in which the levy is made to determine the maximum effective levy rate. If the combined levy rate exceeds the maximum effective levy rate, then the individual levy rates must be reduced or eliminated until the combined levy rate is equal to the maximum effective levy rate.

(3) **Constitutional one percent limit.** RCW 84.52.010 provides the order in which levy rates are to be reduced or eliminated when the constitutional one percent limit is exceeded.

As opposed to the order in RCW 84.52.010, which lists the taxing districts that are the first to have their levy rates reduced or eliminated, this rule is written in reverse order; that is, it lists the taxing districts that must be first either fully or partially funded. If the constitutional one percent limit is exceeded, then the levy

rates for taxing districts within a particular tier must be reduced or eliminated on a pro rata basis.

If the constitutional one percent limit is exceeded after performing the preliminary calculations described in subsection (2) of this rule, the following levies must be reduced or eliminated until the combined levy rate no longer exceeds the maximum effective levy rate:

(a) Step one: Subtract the aggregate levy rate calculated for the state for the support of common schools from the effective rate limit(( $\dot{\tau}$ )).

(b) Step two: Subtract the levy rates for the county, including the rate of any separate property tax levy as described in RCW 84.55.135, county road district, regional transit authority, and for city or town purposes ( $(\div)$ ).

(c) Step three: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for fire protection districts under RCW 52.16.130, regional fire protection service authorities under RCW 52.26.140 (1)(a), library districts under RCW 27.12.050 and 27.12.150, the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value for metropolitan park districts created before January 1, 2002, under RCW 35.61.210, and the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per thousand dollars) 50 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value for public hospital districts under RCW 70.44.060(6).

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis <u>from the remaining bal-</u> <u>ance in step two</u> until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step four.

(d) Step four: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for fire protection districts under RCW 52.16.140 and 52.16.160, and regional fire protection service authorities under RCW 52.26.140 (1) (b) and (c).

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis <u>from the remaining bal-</u> <u>ance in step three</u> until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step five.

(e) Step five: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for the first ((fifty cents per thousand dollars)) 50 cents per <u>\$1,000</u> of assessed value of metropolitan park districts created on or after January 1, 2002, under RCW 35.61.210.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance ((from)) in step four. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step six.

(f) Step six: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for all other junior taxing districts if those levies are not listed in steps three through five or steps seven through ((seven-teen)) eighteen of this subsection.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis <u>to the remaining balance</u> <u>in step five</u> until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step seven.

(g) Step seven: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for flood control zone districts other than the portion of a levy protected under RCW 84.52.816.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step six. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step eight.

(h) Step eight: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for city transportation authorities under RCW 35.95A.100, park and recreation service areas under RCW 36.68.525, park and recreation districts under RCW 36.69.145, except a park and recreation district located on an island and within a county with a population exceeding 2,000,000, and cultural arts, stadium, and convention districts under RCW 67.38.130.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis <u>from the remaining bal-</u> <u>ance in step seven</u> until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step nine.

(i) Step nine: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy imposed, if any, for cultural access programs under RCW 36.160.080.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity from any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step eight. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed to step ten.

(j) Step ten: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for the first ((thirty cents per thousand dollars)) <u>30 cents per \$1,000</u> for emergency medical care or emergency medical services under RCW 84.52.069.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step nine. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step eleven.

(k) Step eleven: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for levies used for acquiring conservation futures under RCW 84.34.230, financing affordable housing under RCW 84.52.105, and any portion of a levy rate for emergency medical care or emergency medical services under RCW 84.52.069 in excess of ((thirty cents per thousand dollars)) <u>30 cents per \$1,000</u> of assessed value.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levies within this tier must be reduced on a pro rata basis <u>from the remaining bal-</u> <u>ance in step ten</u> until the balance is zero. After prorationing, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step twelve.

(1) Step twelve: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the ((portion of the levy by a metropolitan park district with a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more that is protected under RCW 84.52.120)) levies imposed under RCW 36.69.145 for a park and recreation district located on an island and within a county with a population exceeding 2,000,000.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the portion of the levy within this tier must be reduced to the remaining balance in step eleven. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step thirteen.

(m) <u>Step thirteen: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the</u> portion of the levy by a metropolitan park district with a population of 150,000 or more that is protected under RCW 84.52.120.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the portion of the levy within this tier must be reduced to the remaining balance in step twelve. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step fourteen.

(n) Step ((thirteen)) fourteen: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rates for county ferry districts under RCW 36.54.130.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step ((twelve)) thirteen. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step ((fourteen)) fifteen.

((<del>(n)</del>)) <u>(o)</u> Step ((<del>fourteen</del>)) <u>fifteen</u>: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for criminal justice purposes imposed under RCW 84.52.135.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step ((thirteen)) fourteen. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step ((fifteen)) sixteen.

and the assessor should proceed on to step ((fifteen)) sixteen. (((o))) (p) Step ((fifteen)) sixteen: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for a fire protection district or regional fire protection service authority protected under RCW 84.52.125.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the portion of the levy within this tier must be reduced to the remaining balance in step ((fourteen)) fifteen. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step ((sixteen)) seventeen.

((<del>(p)</del>)) <u>(q)</u> Step ((sixteen)) <u>seventeen</u>: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the levy rate for transit-related purposes by a county under RCW 84.52.140.

(i) If the balance is zero, there is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing districts at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(ii) If the balance is less than zero, then the levy is reduced to the remaining balance in step ((fifteen)) sixteen. There is no remaining levy capacity for any other junior taxing district at a lower tier and their levies, if any, must be eliminated.

(iii) If the remaining balance is greater than zero, this amount is available to the remaining junior taxing districts at a lower tier and the assessor should proceed on to step ((seventeen)) <u>eighteen</u>.

((<del>(q)</del>)) <u>(r)</u> Step ((seventeen)) <u>eighteen</u>: Subtract from the remaining levy capacity the protected portion of the levy imposed under RCW 84.52.816 by a flood control zone district until the remaining levy capacity equals zero.