Taxpayer Perceptions

Cognitive and social norms affect taxpayer attitudes and behavior Most Analysis Based on the Selfinterested "Rational" Taxpayer Forms opinions by weighing personal benefits and costs Treats a dollar as a dollar Objectively measurable tax burdens Logic principles such as Aristotle's principle of non-contradiction apply

Perception Is Not Always Rational

Cognitive "bias" often sensitive to context and learning Should cognitive bias be considered when designing tax policy? "A universal feeling, whether well or ill founded, cannot be safely disregarded." Abraham Lincoln

Two Central Questions

Fiscal Illusion: To what extent do cognitive biases cause "psychic" costs that affect taxpayer attitudes and their responses to taxation apart from the objective burdens imposed?

Two Central Questions

To what extent do things other than self-interest, such as "social norms" and "moral reasoning", affect taxpayers' attitudes and their responses to taxation?

Cognitive Bias

Anchoring People evaluate from reference points Possession (Endowment) Effect People value ownership Dread/Hope Anticipation has utility/disutility Loss Aversion \$ loss more salient than \$ gain

Cognitive Bias

Regret Aversion Commission worse than omission Salience More emphasis on vivid evidence Confirmation bias Discount contradictory evidence Framing Order and emphasis of information relevant

Cognitive Bias

Reciprocity

 People go along to get along

Mental Accounts

 Money not fungible

Time Inconsistency of Preference
Better next week than now

- Replacing a "hidden" tax with a visible tax is perceived as a tax increase
- Tax changes that shift money between "mental accounts" create psychic costs
- People hate negative tax surprises more than they like positive ones

People dislike out-of-pocket taxes more than taxes withheld at source Frequent certain tax "installments" cause less resentment than infrequent uncertain payments Anticipation of a future tax payment can cause psychic cost

- Structures that allow taxpayers to make many errors can cause more regret
- People less resistant to "ear-marked" taxes because they believe there is a reciprocal benefit
 How tax provision is framed can determine attitudes

 Familiar taxes cause less resistance than novel/unusual taxes
People more likely to accept a future tax increase than a current tax increase (for a good purpose)

Tax Compliance Research **Illustrates Same Phenomena** Compliance depends on "rational" determinants such as cost Cost determined by opportunity, probability of detection, and penalties Limited rationality—taxpayers may follow heuristic "rules of thumb"

People show cognitive bias and influence by social norms

Framing as an Example

- People behave differently in loss and gain positions
 - More likely to evade in loss position
 - More likely to heed aggressive tax advice in loss position
 - View under-withholding as a loss position
 - Frame can be manipulated to improve compliance

Example: Taxpayer's Moral Beliefs

- Three development levels of moral belief
 - 1. Punishment avoidance
 - 2. Social duty/obligation
 - 3. Principles of justice

 Compliance by level 1 taxpayers most affected by opportunity, probability of detection and punishment

Example: Taxpayer's Moral Beliefs

 Normative appeals targeted at taxpayers with level 2 can be effective at increasing compliance
Perceptions of tax system fairness affect taxpayer's perceptions of morality of tax evasion