CODE REVISER USE ONLY

PROPOSED	RULE	MAKING
----------	------	--------



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: January 31, 2023 TIME: 3:18 PM

WSR 23-04-098

Agency: Department of	of Revenue							
☑ Original Notice								
Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR								
☑ Preproposal Stater	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 22-1	8-088	; or				
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
Proposal is exemp	t under RC	W						
Title of rule and other precedents—Criteria for			oject) V	/AC 458-20-10005 titled Written determinations as				
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Location: (be specific) Comment:					
March 15, 2023	10:00am	This meeting will be conduc over the internet/telephone person option also available	. In- e.	Please contact Atif Aziz at AtifA@dor.wa.gov for login/dial-in information. If you would like to attend in person, please RSVP by emailing AtifA@dor.wa.gov by <u>2/22/23</u> .				
		<u>h 31, 2023</u> (Note: This is N		,				
Submit written comm	ents to:		Assis	Assistance for persons with disabilities:				
Name: Adam Becker			Contact Julie King					
Address: PO Box 47453, Olympia, WA 98504-7453		Phone: (360)704-5733						
Email: adamb@dor.wa.gov			Fax:					
Fax: 360-534-1606		TTY: 800-833-6384						
Other: (360) 534-1574		Email:						
By (date) <u>March 17, 2023</u>		Other:						
			• •	3y (date)				
provides that the direct precedential.	tor shall ado	pt a rule identifying the criter	ia to b	y changes in existing rules: RCW 82.32.410(1)(a) e used to decide whether a determination is ctor to designate certain written determinations as				
	or adoption:	RCW 82.32.410; RCW 84.3	32.300					
Statute being implem	-							
Is rule necessary bec	ause of a:							
Federal Law?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
Federal Court Decision?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No				
State Court Decision?								
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None								
		Public ⊠ Governmental rganization) Department of F	levenu	e				

Name of agency	personnel responsible for:							
	Name	Office Location		Phone				
Drafting:	Adam Becker	6400 Linderson	Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1574				
Implementation:	Heidi Geathers	6400 Linderson	Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1615				
Enforcement:	Heidi Geathers	6400 Linderson	Way SW, Tumwater, WA	(360) 534-1615				
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ?								
If yes, insert state	If yes, insert statement here:							
The public ma	y obtain a copy of the school dis	trict fiscal impact s	tatement by contacting:					
Name:		-						
Addres	S:							
Phone:								
Fax:								
TTY: Email:								
Other:								
	analysis required under RCW	34.05.328?						
	eliminary cost-benefit analysis m		contacting.					
Name:		ay be obtained by	contacting.					
Addres	S:							
Phone:								
Fax:								
TTY:								
Email:								
Other:		···· / · · · / /·						
	ase explain: This rule is not a sig	-	•	.328				
Note: The Govern	ness Act and Small Business E nor's Office for Regulatory Innova			completing this part.				
(1) Identification			no en incorrecto at the Decudate					
	II, or portions of the proposal, ma W). For additional information o							
	any applicable exemption(s):	n exemptions, con	suit the <u>exemption galde publi</u>	Shed by ORIA. Thease				
	osal, or portions of the proposal,	is exempt under	CW 19 85 061 because this	rule making is being				
	conform and/or comply with fed							
-	e is being adopted to conform or	comply with, and	describe the consequences to	the state if the rule is not				
adopted.								
Citation and desc	•							
	osal, or portions of the proposal,			he pilot rule process				
	<u>34.05.313</u> before filing the notice							
adopted by a refe	osal, or portions of the proposal,	, is exempt under t	he provisions of <u>RCW 15.65.5</u>	$\frac{1}{10}(2)$ because it was				
	osal, or portions of the proposal,	is exempt under	CW 19 85 025(3) Check all	that apply:				
				and apply.				
	<u>N 34.05.310</u> (4)(b) ernal government operations)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e) (Dictated by statute)					
	- ,							
	$\frac{N 34.05.310}{C} (4)(c)$		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)					
	orporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
	<u>N 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)	inge: or (ii) process				
	rrect or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hear	• • • • •				
			requirements for applying to or permit)	an agency for a license				
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(</u> 4) (does not affect small businesses).								
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW								
1 -1		•						

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: (2) Scope of exemptions: Check one. □ The rule proposal is fully exempt (*skip section 3*). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal. □ The rule proposal is partially exempt (complete section 3). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA): □ The rule proposal is not exempt *(complete section 3)*. No exemptions were identified above. (3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt. If any portion of the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses? 🖂 No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. The proposed rule does not impose more than minor costs on businesses, as it does not propose any new requirements not already provided for in statute. The proposed rule does not impose fees, filing requirements, or recordkeeping guidelines that are not already established in statute. □ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Signature: Date: January 31, 2023 AHA Aiz Name: Atif Aziz Title: Rules Coordinator

NEW SECTION

WAC 458-20-10005 Written determinations as precedents-Criteria for publication. (1) Introduction. A determination, which is authorized by RCW 82.32.170 and issued pursuant to WAC 458-20-100, is a written response by the department to a taxpayer's petition to correct an assessment of tax, penalties, or interest. This rule provides criteria the department uses to decide whether a determination is precedential, as required by RCW 82.32.410.

(2) Criteria. The department may publish a determination, and therefore designate the determination as precedential, when all of the following criteria are met:

(a) The determination is a well-reasoned application of the law to a specific set of facts.

(b) The determination addresses only the law and facts necessary to resolve the case.

(c) The determination is needed to:

(i) Provide quidance on a previously unaddressed area of the law and articulate the department's current policy;

(ii) Apply the law to a significantly different set of facts;(iii) Overrule a published determination; or

(iv) Provide a better or more current articulation on how the law should be interpreted.

(d) The determination can be effectively sanitized, or the taxpayer has granted a waiver of the secrecy clause. For purposes of this subsection, "effectively sanitized" means that information that could identify the taxpayer is removed without affecting the analysis or precedential value of the determination.